

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,731	06/26/2003	Kourosh Soroushian	02-6421 1496.00304	5840
24319 LSI CORPORA	7590 11/28/200 ATION	7	EXAM	INER
1621 BARBER MS: D-106	LANE		HUBER, JE	REMIAH C
MILPITAS, CA	A 95035		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	10/606,731	SURUUSHIAN,	KUUKUSH		
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeremiah C. Huber	2621			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>Jeremiah C. Huber</u> .	(3) Robert Miller.				
(2) <u>Mehrdad Dastouri</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>10/19/2007</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	)∐ applicant's repres	entative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed:					
Identification of prior art discussed: Boyce (5592299), Kim (5926573).					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	⊠ was not reached.	h) N/A:			
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	nature of what was ag	reed to if an agreemer	t was		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	ppy of the amendment				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPOLITION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	last Office action has DF ONE MONTH OR ERVIEW SUMMARY F	already been filed, API THIRTY DAYS FROM FORM, WHICHEVER I	PLICANT IS THIS S LATER, TO		
		,			
•					
Francisco Mater Version of the first field from					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examine	r's signature, if require	d		

Application No.

10/606,731

Applicant(s)

SOROUSHIAN, KOUROSH

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Applicant request that Examiner explain the 102 rejection of claim 1 over Boyce. The examiner explained that the claim only required generating two fields in response to an input bitstream, which Boyce meet, although one of the fields generated by Boyce is blank. Examiner informed applicant that claim language as to the contents of the two fields would overcome the 102 rejection. Examiner and applicant discussed the application of Kim, and its combination with Boyce. Examiner directed the applicant to portions of Boyce that related to the generation of new picture and slice headers. Applicant inquired if the examiner knew of any changes that could be made to the application to further prosecution. The examiner informed the applicant the he was not aware of any at that time.

MEHRDAD DASTOURI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Tc 2600